

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	4	
09/901,930	07/09/2001	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
		Jae-Yoon Sim	9898-204	7100
7590 06/01/2004 MARGER JOHNSON & McCOLLOM, P.C. 1030 S.W. Morrison Street			EXAMINER	
			Portland, OR	97205
			2816	· ·
			DATE MAILED: 06/01/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. Applicant(s) Advisory Action 09/901.930. SIM ET AL. Examiner Art Unit Terry D. Cunningham 2816 --The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 17 May 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)] The period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on ____. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: (a) \(\subseteq \) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See attached. 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: _ Claim(s) objected to: ___ Claim(s) rejected: 1-24. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 25-63. 8. The drawing correction filed on ____ is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 10. Other: _

Terry D. Cunningham Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2816 Application/Control Number: 09/901,930

Art Unit: 2816

Continuation of No. 5

Examiner has fully considered Applicant's remarks concerning the indefiniteness rejection and has not found them to be persuasive. Applicant remarks that "Definiteness of claim language must be analyzed, not it a vacuum, but in light of: a) the content of the particular application disclosure; b) the teachings of the prior art; and c) the claim interpretation that would be given by one possessing the ordinary level of skill in the pertinent art at the time the invention was made". Examiner agrees with this statement and contends that this is method used to analyze the claims. However, in contradistinction to applicant's further remarks, one cannot impart limitations from the specification, from prior art or from the interpretation that would be given by one possessing the ordinary level of skill in the pertinent art at the time the invention was made". Without any additional elements, it is clearly impossible for the circuit to be a memory circuit. Additionally, voltage generator would not implicitly have a "word-line precharge signal". It would be clear to one skilled in the art the a "word-line precharge signal" would be exclusively for a memory circuit, which has not been recited.

With respect to Applicant remarks concerning the reference to Park et al., Applicant's remarks are still not understood. The reference to Park et al. undeniably states that the circuit of 1B is a "voltage pumping circuit". Further, contrary to Applicant's remarks, the operation expressly stated in the reference to Park et al. concerning the elements cited in the rejection as being the "first charge pump" and the "second charge pump" each would be more than reasonably understood by one skilled in the art as being that of a charge pump.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Terry Cunningham whose telephone number is 571-272-1742. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 7:30 to 6:00.

Art Unit: 2816

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy P. Callahan can be reached on 571-272-1740. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TC May 26, 2004 Terry D. Cunningham Primary Examiner Art Unit 2816